

HOW COLLABORATION BETWEEN REGULATORS CAN IMPROVE OFFSHORE SAFETY

THE INTERNATIONAL REGULATORS FORUM &
NORTH SEA OFFSHORE AUTHORITIES FORUM

Taf Powell + Iain Paterson + Melinda Mayes



NORTH SEA OFFSHORE AUTHORITIES FORUM

Members are: NORWAY, DENMARK, THE NETHERLANDS,

UK, EIRE, SWEDEN, GERMANY,

FAROE ISLANDS,

Occasional Members: POLAND, ISLE OF MAN, FRANCE



NSOAF

STANDING WORKING SUB GROUPS:

- TRAINING
- HEALTH AND SAFTY ENVIRONMENT



International Regulators Forum



Australia – National Offshore Petroleum Safety Authority



Brazil – Agencia de Nacional de Petroleo



Canada – Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board Newfoundland Offshore Petroleum Board



Netherlands – State Supervision of Mines



International Regulators Forum



New Zealand – Occupational Health and Safety Service of Department of Labour



Norway – Petroleum Safety Authority Norway



United Kingdom – Health and Safety Executive



United States – Minerals Management Service Department of the Interior



IRF Activities

- Share technical information
- Share incident data
- Share intelligence on companies
- Share regulatory policies
- •Support members change programmes/exchange personnel
- Develop joint programmes of work



Globalisation of the Industry

- •Global structure of producers & drillers/contractors
- Diversity of safety performance within companies
- •Adverse economic impact (accidents/unwanted events)
- •Threats to sustainability in mature basins



CURRENT IRF PROGRAMME

- •NETWORKING (AS BEFORE)
- •RECOGNISING SAFETY LEADERSHIP
- •MANAGING HEAVY LIFTS
- •INTERNATIONAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT



MANAGING HEAVY LIFTS

Iain Paterson HSE



- Offshore lifting is an international issue
- A collaborative approach to share best practice
- IRF Lifting project







IRF lifting project

- Identify lifting concerns,
- 2) Identify initiatives to address those concerns,
- Identify benchmarks used to seek compliance with national legislation, e.g. codes and standards,
- 4) Identify the priority concerns,
- 5) Promulgate the findings, and to
- 6) Share best practice and develop effective solutions



Questionnaire x

- Loading the supply boat at the dockside
- Transfer from supply boat to installation
- Deck to deck lifting operations
- Drill floor activities
- Generic issues
- Intelligence



Top 5 priority issues

- 1) Training & competency of crane operators
- Training & competency of signallers and slingers
- 3) Man riding using winches
- 4) Planning of lifting operations
- 5) Analysis of lifting accidents





IRF Generic lifting report

http://www.mms.gov/cranes

<u>X</u>

X

HSE Offshore lifting strategy



The next step

6) Share best practice and develop effective approaches to deal with these priority concerns

Round table discussions?

Working group?



Collaboration with OMHEC

(Offshore Mechanical Handling Equipment Committee)



Denmark, Netherlands, Norway, UK

- Training standard for Offshore Crane Operator and banksman
- Enterprise of Competence standard
- Work in progress on crane maintenance



INTERNATIONAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Melinda Mayes MMS



Why Measure Safety Performance?

- Compare performance among IRF countries
- Identify changes in performance over time
- Focus IRF efforts where improvements are needed
- Provide a benchmark for measuring the effect of IRF collaborative efforts



Safety Performance Data is Available

- Each IRF country compiles safety performance data for their own offshore area
- Industry organizations such as IADC and OGP compile safety performance data on a global basis
- These are valuable sources of safety performance data



IRF Can Provide a Unique Perspective

- As regulators, IRF countries collect data from all operators in the areas under their supervision
- Current IRF countries represent four regions of the world



Challenges To Developing Performance Measures

- Different reporting requirements among the authorities
- Different data collection and categorization practices
- Availability and source of data for normalizing



IRF Performance Measures

- Fatalities
- Major Injuries
- ☐ Lost Time Injuries
- ☐ Total Injuries

Per Million Hours Worked



IRF Performance Measures (cont'd)

☐ Collisions	
☐ Fires/Explosions	Per Number of Offshore
☐ Installation Damage	Installations
☐ Loss of Well Control	Per Number of Well-
	Related Activities.



IRF Performance Measures (cont'd)

Hydrocarbon Releases
□ Liquid Releases
□ Liquid Release Amount
□ Gas Releases
□ Gas Release Amount
□ Gas Release Amount



IRF Performance Measures (cont'd)

Near Misses

Collection of
Descriptive Information
Only



Status of IRF Performance Measures

- Currently collecting data for CY 2003 using the measures; refinements will be made if needed
- Initially, calculated performance measures will be for internal IRF use only
- However, IRF looks forward to sharing the information in the future



Advantages of Safety Performance Measurement to the IRF

- Provides a consistent measure of performance
- Provides a way of comparing performance
- Provides a benchmark for improvement
- Helps focus our collaborative efforts



COLLABORATION: WHERE NEXT

- •Join with wider network of regulators to have better understanding of key programmes (such as lifting..)
- •Better understanding of difference of performance in different world regions
- •Help raise standards of lowest performers to standards of best performers not just in the 9 IRF regions



•taf.powell@hse.gsi.gov.uk

•iain.paterson@hse.gsi.gov.uk

•Melinda.Mayes@mms.gov



